Reference Number: 508-01-DD

Title of Document: Competency to Stand Trial Evaluations

Date of Issue:

Effective Date:

December 19, 1990

December 19, 1990

Last Review Date:

December 9, 2011

Date of Last Revision: December 9, 2011 (**REVISED**)

Applicability: DDSN Regional Centers, DSN Boards, Contracted Service

Providers and Psychologists Who Conduct Competency

Evaluations

<u>Purpose</u>

This directive is to establish guidelines for performing Competency to Stand Trial (CST) Evaluations. Competency evaluations may be ordered by the Family Court for juveniles or the Court of General Sessions for adults. The evaluations aid the court in determining the defendant's rights in confronting the case against him/her under the United States Constitution. The final decision concerning competency rests with the court. The evaluation is an opinion which will assist the court in its decision process. Note, the term "person," "defendant," "accused," or "individual" may be used interchangeably in this directive.

Statutory Mandates

In accordance with state law, S.C. Code Ann. §44-23-410 (Supp. 2010), whenever a judge of the Circuit Court or Family Court has reason to believe that a person, on trial for a criminal offense, is not fit to stand trial because the person lacks the capacity to understand the proceedings against him/her or to assist in his/her own defense as a result of a lack of mental capacity, the judge shall order an examination of the person. The examination shall be done by two examiners designated by the Department of Mental Health (DMH), if the person is suspected of

508-01-DD December 9, 2011 Page 2

having a mental illness or designated by the Department of Disabilities and Special Needs (DDSN), if the person is suspected of having an intellectual disability or a related disability. If, after initial assessment either DDSN or DMH determines the defendant evidences symptoms of both mental illness and an intellectual disability or a related disability, they may defer the case for a joint evaluation. If the person is suspected of having both mental illness and an intellectual disability or a related disability, then both Departments will conduct a joint Competency to Stand Trial (CST) evaluation with one examiner from each Department designated to further evaluate the person and render a final report on his mental capacity.

If DDSN finds no intellectual disability or a related disability but mental illness, no determination of competency shall be rendered. The court will be informed and a recommendation be made that DMH perform the CST.

By order of the SC Supreme Court, effective April 2005, DMH has sole responsibility to conduct criminal responsibility evaluations for the Court of General Sessions. By administrative order of the SC Supreme Court, effective October 2007, the Family Court may no longer order criminal responsibility evaluations for juvenile defendants from either Agency. The CST orders must be on the forms approved by the South Carolina Supreme Court.

Procedures

Court orders for CST evaluations are referred to the DDSN Central Office, Office of Clinical Services. All statutory time frames are calculated from the date the order and required documents are received. The examination must be conducted within 30 days after receipt of the court's order unless a 15 day extension is requested and granted. The examination will be done on an outpatient basis at one of the DDSN Regional Centers. An appointment letter with date, time, and location will be sent to the defense attorney and solicitor along with Protocol for Court Ordered Forensic Mental Evaluations. The defense counsel in charge of the case shall assist in arranging transportation with the appropriate law enforcement office if the person is in a correctional facility or a family member if the person is not incarcerated per the court order. At the time the CST is scheduled, DDSN should ask that the charges, previous evaluations and other pertinent information be provided before the evaluation occurs.

S.C. law requires DDSN to designate two examiners to conduct the examination within the required timeline. In conducting the examination, one examiner who has had experience in determination of competency to stand trial, shall be designated as the lead examiner. A secondary examiner is assigned from one of the Regional Centers. Within ten days of the examination, the lead examiner must make a written report to the court which shall include:

- 1. A diagnosis of the person's mental condition;
- 2. Clinical findings bearing on the issues of whether or not the person is capable of understanding the proceedings against him/her and assisting in his/her own defense; and

3. If deemed to be presently incompetent to stand trial, a statement indicating whether or not there is any substantial possibility that the person will obtain that capacity in the foreseeable future.

The written report is filed with the Clerk of Court and sent to the solicitor and the defense attorney. All information related to CST evaluations is maintained by DDSN. Examiners may be subpoenaed to testify.

Evaluation Guidelines

In order to ensure the consistency of evaluations conducted by DDSN examiners, the following items should be addressed in competency reports:

1. <u>Notification of the Purpose of the CST Examination</u>:

The examiner should document that he/she has fully informed the person of the purpose and nature of the evaluation procedure. At a minimum, disclosure should include the legal questions to be addressed, the extent of non-confidentiality, identification of all third parties to whom the report will be sent, the individual's right to counsel and the possibility that the examiners may have to testify in court. There is no requirement to give a "Miranda Rights" warning to the individual being evaluated.

2. Mental Status:

The report should contain a detailed description of the current mental status of the person. Specific reference should be made to his/her ability to communicate effectively and the results and explanation of intellectual and adaptive measures available. If suspected, the possibility of the presence of a psychiatric disorder should be noted. If mental illness is detected, the examiner should recommend that the individual be referred to DMH for evaluation to determine its bearing on the person's competency to stand trial or recommend a joint CST evaluation.

3. <u>Competency Opinion</u>:

The report should include specifics in regards to whether or not the person has the capacity to understand the proceedings against him/her and to assist in his/her own defense. The United States Supreme Court in the case of <u>Dusky v. United States</u>, 362 U.S. 402 (1960), established the modern legal definition of competency to stand trial. The court held that "the test must be whether he (the defendant) has sufficient present ability to consult with his attorney with a reasonable degree of rational understanding and a rational as well as a factual understanding of the proceedings against him." South Carolina has adopted this test in the case of <u>State v. Law</u>, 270 S.C. 664, 244 S.E. 2d 302 (1978). The Dusky standard has several core elements:

a. A competency assessment evaluates the defendant's <u>present</u> ability to consult with his/her attorney and to understand the proceedings against him/her.

- b. The assessment focuses on the defendant's <u>capacity</u>, not willingness, to relate to his/her attorney and understand the proceedings. However, if the defendant's unwillingness is based on irrational factors as a result of a mental condition, then the defendant's capacity to assist in his/her defense may be called into question.
- c. The assessment is <u>flexible</u> since it only calls for a "reasonable" degree of understanding the proceedings, not a perfect or complete understanding on the part of the defendant.
- d. The <u>Dusky</u> assessment also emphasizes the defendant's <u>cognitive functioning</u> due to the presence or absence of "factual" or "rational" understanding of the proceedings. The presence of a mental deficiency is relevant only insofar as that deficiency affects the defendant's "rational understanding" as he consults with his attorney and undergoes a criminal trial. However, note that S.C. statutory law emphasizes "capacity to understand" as a result of "lack of mental capacity." Thus, S.C. statutory law has amended case law to some extent in that a defendant may be found competent if he/she has the capacity to understand the proceedings against him/her, but presently does not possess a factual and rational understanding where such lack of understanding is not based on a mental deficiency, but rather on other factors, (e.g., a lack of knowledge of the U.S. criminal justice system by a child or a foreigner).
- e. Under the <u>Dusky</u> standard, "competency" is that <u>level</u> of "present ability" and "factual and rational understanding" exhibited by the defendant which meets Constitutional standards. The South Carolina statute refers to these concepts as "capacity to understand." On the theory that one should not be tried in his/her absence, the Constitutional standard requires only that the defendant be "present" at trial from a mental perspective. Thus, the presence of mental illness or mental deficiency would not preclude a criminal trial, except where such mental illness or mental deficiency would so cloud the defendant's present mental faculties that he/she would not be "mentally present" for trial. This minimal standard does not require the defendant have the capacity or ability to formulate a defense, just assist legal counsel in their defense.

Kathi K. Lacy, Ph.D.

Associate State Director-Policy

(Originator)

Beverly A.H. Buscemi, Ph.D.

State Director (Approved)